

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO.

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR CONFIRMATION NO. 05054 3830 10/531,918 04/19/2005 Ignio Longo EXAMINER 23338 7590 11/03/2006 DENNISON, SCHULTZ & MACDONALD ROLLINS, ROSILAND STACIE 1727 KING STREET ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER SUITE 105 3739 . ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314

DATE MAILED: 11/03/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	NIT		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	10/531,918	LONGO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Rosiland S. Rollins	3739	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).			
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 At	ugust 2006.		
	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	•		merits is
Disposition of Claims		•	
 4) Claim(s) 5-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 5-8 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 			
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage
Attachment(s)		(070,442)	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da		
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	—)-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/531,918 Page 2

Art Unit: 3739

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Edwards et al. '294 further in view of Moorman et al. (US 2002/0058932). Edwards et al. disclose a microwave device for applications of acute hyperthermia comprising a microwave antenna which inherently includes an inner conductor, a dielectric layer and an external conductor; a tubular application device (figures 5-11), a side opening, a chute guide as illustrated by the figures, a plastic catheter as the application device and a flexible mandrel (58).

Edwards et al. teach all of the limitations of the claims except the application device being a hollow needle. Moorman et al. disclose a similar microwave device and teach that it is old and well known in the art to provide a hollow needle as the application device so that ablation can be facilitated without the need to withdraw the device from the puncture site. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a hollow needle as the application device with the Edwards et al. apparatus so that ablation can be performed without having to withdraw the device.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 8/10/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that Edwards and Moorman et al. do not result in a minimally invasive surgery. Applicant has failed to provide any structurally limitations of the claims that have not been met by the combination of Edwards and Moorman et al. Therefore, the claim limitations have not been differentiated from the teaching of the prior art recited in the rejection.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rosiland S. Rollins whose telephone number is (571) 272-4772. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 9:00 AM - 6:00 PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/531,918

Art Unit: 3739

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Linda C. Dvorak can be reached on (571) 272-4764. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Rosiland S Rollins
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3739